## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

REC'D 0 6 JUL 2005

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATER ABILITY PO

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

last and the second sec	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/EP2004/008512	International filing date (day/month/year) 29.07.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.07.2003
Applicant	(IPC) or national classification and IPC B, C07D409/12, C07D513/04, A61P3/04	
LABORATORIOS DEL DR.		
<ol> <li>This report is the internal Authority under Article 35</li> <li>This REPORT consists of</li> </ol>	tional preliminary examination report, establishes and transmitted to the applicant according to A	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.
2 This report to the second state of	of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.	•
3. I his report is also accom	panied by ANNEXES, comprising.	
a. □ sent to the applica	ant and to the International Bureau) a total of s	sheets, as follows:
and/or sheets Administrative	description, claims and/or drawings which have containing rectifications authorized by this Autherized by this Autherized by the Autherized by this Autherized by this Autherized by this Autherized by this Autherized by the Authe	been amended and are the basis of this repon nority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
□ sheets which :	supersede earlier sheets, but which this Author sclosure in the international application as filed, Box.	
b. \(\simega\) (sent to the Internations sequence listing a Box Relating to Se	ational Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and nd/or tables related thereto, in computer readab equence Listing (see Section 802 of the Adminis	d number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing ble form only, as indicated in the Supplemental strative Instructions).
This report contains in the		·
	ations relating to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of	ations relating to the following items:	
☐ Box No. I Basis of☐ ☐ Box No. II Priority	f the opinion	
☐ Box No. I Basis of☐ ☐ Box No. II Priority	f the opinion	
☐ Box No. I Basis of ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-est ☐ Box No. IV Lack of	f the opinion ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inv unity of invention	ventive step and industrial applicability
<ul> <li>☑ Box No. I</li> <li>☐ Box No. II</li> <li>☐ Box No. III</li> <li>☐ Non-est</li> <li>☐ Box No. IV</li> <li>☐ Lack of applicab</li> </ul>	f the opinion  ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to bility; citations and explanations supporting such	ventive step and industrial applicability
☐ Box No. I Basis of ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-est ☐ Box No. IV Lack of ☐ Box No. V Reason ☐ applicab ☐ Box No. VI Certain	f the opinion  ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to billity; citations and explanations supporting such documents cited	ventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of Box No. V Reason applicab Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of	f the opinion  cablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to possible to inventions and explanations supporting such documents cited defects in the international application.	ventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of the Box No. V Reason applicab Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of	f the opinion  ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to billity; citations and explanations supporting such documents cited	ventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of Box No. V Reason applicab Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain of	f the opinion  cablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to possible to inventions and explanations supporting such documents cited defects in the international application.	ventive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial n statement
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of Box No. V Reason applicab Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain of	f the opinion  ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invunity of invention  ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to bility; citations and explanations supporting such documents cited defects in the international application observations on the international application	ventive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial n statement
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of Box No. V Reasond applicable Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of Box No. VIII Certain o	ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to bility; citations and explanations supporting such documents cited defects in the international application observations on the international application  Date of completic 05.07.2005	ventive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial n statement on of this report
Box No. I Basis of Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-est Box No. IV Lack of the Box No. V Reason applicab Box No. VI Certain of Box No. VII Certain of	ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ed statement under Article 35(2) with regard to bility; citations and explanations supporting such documents cited defects in the international application observations on the international application  Date of completic 05.07.2005	ventive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial n statement on of this report

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008512

-			
_	Box	k No. I Basis of the report	
1.	Witi filed	n regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was I, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:  international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets who have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages		
	1-61	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-72	as originally filed	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	had Sur	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the oplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).  If the description, pages the claims, Nos.  If the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):  any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	*	If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/008512

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-72

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-72

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-72

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/042175 A (ESTEVE LABOR DR) 22 May 2003 (2003-05-22)

D2: EP-A-0 815 861 (HOFFMANN LA ROCHE) 7 January 1998 (1998-01-07)

D3: WO 02/060871 A (FLAUGH MICHAEL EDWARD; GILLIG JAMES RONALD (US); HEINZ LAWRENCE JOSEP) 8 August 2002 (2002-08-08)

D4: US-A-3 472 870 (GOULD BARBARA E ET AL) 14 October 1969 (1969-10-14)

D5: WO 00/46915 A (ERICSSON INC) 10 August 2000 (2000-08-10)

### 2. Novelty (Article 33(1) and (2)PCT)

The present application discloses compounds of formula (la-b) (see present Claims 1, 9), which are useful as 5-HT-6 modulators.

The present compounds (see Claims 1 and 9) differ form the D1 compounds on the account of the position 4 of substitution with sulfonamide moiety on the indole ring (instead of the 5-th position for the D1 compounds), from the D3 compounds on the account of the sulfonamide moiety (see Claim 1), from the D4 compounds on the account of the A substituent of the sulfonamide function (which in the present case should contain a (hetero)aromatic ring) and from D2 and D5 compounds on the account of the present R1 substituent. Consequently, the novelty of the present subject-matter is acknowledged.

## 3. Inventive step (Article 33(1) and 33(3) PCT)

The present application discloses 4-sulfonamidoindoles which are substituted on position 1 of the indole with an amino moiety or with a (hetero) cycloaliphatic ring useful as 5-HT-6 modulators.

D2, which is regarded as being the closest prior art, discloses 5-HT-6 modulators, which are 4-sulfonamidoindole derivatives (see compounds of formula (le)(page 3-line 50-page 4-line 7; page 5 and Claim 6 and example 52 of D2). The compounds

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008512

disclosed by D2 differ from the present claimed compounds only through the substituent from position 1 of the indole ring.

The problem underlying the present invention cannot be regarded in providing further sulfonamide indoles useful as to treat diseases modulated through the 5-HT-6 receptor, for the following reasons:

D1 discloses 5-HT-6 modulators which are also sulfonamide indoles. The main differences between the present compounds and the compounds disclosed by D1 are the positions of substitution with the sulfonamide moiety and -(CH2)n-R1 substituent on the indole ring which is not in the first position but in the 3-rd position of the indole ring (see present Claim 1 and the Claim 1 of D1).

D3 discloses indol-5-yl esters which are 5-HT6 antagonists and are substituted in the position 1 of the indole ring with the same moieties as in the present case(see e.g. examples 23, 28, 67 of D3 and the present Claim 1).

The present general structures (Ia) differ from the compounds disclosed by D2 only through the substituent -(CH2)n-R1 in the 1-st position of the indole ring. D3 teaches that compounds substituted in position 1 with a cycaloalyphatic ring (see e.g. examples 23, 28, 67 of D3) are useful as 5-HT-6 modulators. D1 discloses 5-HT-6 modulators, which can be substituted with a cycloalkyl moiety on the 3-rd position of the indole ring.

Having regard that the present compounds differ from D2 compounds only though the substituent on the nitrogen atom of the indole ring, and in view of the D1 and D3 teaching, the skilled person would have expected that the same qualitative effect would be maintain in such similar compounds.

The problem underlying the present application should thus be seen in providing of sulfonamide indole derivatives with unexpected or surprising effects compared to those of the closest prior art. An inventive step cannot be recognized as it is not yet shown by appropriate information, e.g. in form of experimental data, that substantially all the claimed compounds have un unexpected property or improved activity over the structurally closest prior art compounds (D2), which is attributable to the distinguishing feature of the invention.